arXiv:1410.0575v1 [hep-th] 2 Oct 2014

KUNS-2520

Integrable deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring and the classical Yang-Baxter equation

– Towards the gravity/CYBE correspondence –

Takuya Matsumoto

Nagoya University, Department of Mathematics, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

E-mail: takuya.matsumoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Institute for Theoretical Physics and Spinoza Institute, Utrecht University, Leuvenlaan 4, 3854 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Kentaroh Yoshida

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.

E-mail: kyoshida@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract. Based on the formulation of Yang-Baxter sigma models developed by Klimcik and Delduc-Magro-Vicedo, we explain that various deformations of type IIB superstring on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ can be characterized by classical r-matrices satisfying the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE). The relation may be referred to as *the gravity/CYBE correspondence*. We present non-trivial examples of the correspondence including Lunin-Maldacena backgrounds for β-deformations of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory and the gravity duals for non-commutative gauge theories. We also discuss non-integrable backgrounds such as $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$ as a generalization.

1. Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence between type IIB superstring on the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background and the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory [1] has a rich integrable structure. On the string-theory side, the Green-Schwarz action on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is described as a sigma model on a supercoset $PSU(2,2|4)/[SO(4,1) \times SO(5)]$ [2]. Based on the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-grading of the superconformal group $PSU(2,2|4)$, a novel one-parameter flat connection has been constructed [3] (For an argument in the Roiban-Siegel formulation [4] see [5]). On the gauge-theory side, one-loop dilation operator can be mapped to the hamiltonian of an integrable spin chain [6]. For a comprehensive review, see [7]. However, the fundamental mechanism underlying the duality is still unclear. To understand the duality much deeper, it would be of significance to argue its deformations which preserve the integrability. The deformations allow us to take various limits by adjusting deformation parameters and make our understanding much deeper.

A novel prescription to deform principal chiral models was proposed by Klimcik, which is the so-called *the Yang-Baxter sigma models* [8]. The key ingredient in this prescription is *classical r-matrices* satisfying the *modified classical Yang-Baxter equation (mCYBE)*. Here it is assumed

that the r-matrices are constant and do not depend on spectral parameters. If the r-matrices are skew-symmetric, the integrability of the sigma models is preserved. Then this prescription was generalized to symmetric coset cases by Delduc-Magro-Vicedo [9]. Just after that, they succeeded to construct a q-deformed action of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring [10]. Although in the original formulation, the mCYBE was utilized, the usual CYBE is also available to describe integrable deformations along a similar line [11]. Thus one may consider two kinds of integrable deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring[1];

(i) integrable deformations from the mCYBE,

(ii) integrable deformations from the CYBE.

As we will explain later, the difference between them should not be confused.

In the case (i), a typical skew-symmetric constant r-matrix is of the Drinfeld-Jimbo type [14, 15]. The deformed string action [10] exhibits the quantum group symmetry $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4))$ [16][2]. Thus, this type of deformation might be regarded as a q-deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string action. The metric and NS-NS two-form of the deformed background has been explicitly obtained by [20]. However, completing the full supergravity solutions is still open problem. There are several related works in this direction. Some specific limits of the deformation parameter are investigated in [21] and [22, 23]. Especially, the relation to the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ mirror models was found in [22, 23]. A fast-moving string limit and its relation to anisotropic Landau-Lifshitz sigma models have been studied by [24]. A deformed Neumann model was presented by [25] with a rotating string ansatz on the deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$. Classical string solutions, called giant magnons, on the deformed background are constructed in [23, 26]. A new coordinate system is proposed in [27] and minimal surface solutions have been discussed. Interestingly, it exhibits a BPS-like behavior, while supersymmetries are q-deformed and these are not realized in the usual sense any more.

In the case (ii), on the other hand, an application for the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring is proposed in [11]. This case contains Jordanian type deformations [28, 29] and often called Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring. This short article is devoted to give a review of integrable deformations of this type. As a remarkable feature of the deformations based on the CYBE, there are several types of r-matrices such as *abelian, Jordanian* and *abelian-Jordanian* types. In addition to varieties of the r-matrices, one can consider partial deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background.

An important question is what the physical meaning of the CYBE-type deformation is. Our conjecture for this question is that there exists a duality chain which gives the identical supergravity background defined by the CYBE-type deformation. In other words, we might interpret the solutions of the CYBE as a moduli space of the deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string background. In fact, based on a series of our works [30, 31, 32, 33, 34], we will present some non-trivial examples including the Lunin-Maldacena backgrounds [35, 36] and the gravity duals of the non-commutative gauge theories [37, 38]. More generally, we expect that there exists a correspondence between the classical r-matrices satisfying the CYBE and the deformed type IIB supergravity backgrounds. We refer to this relation as *the gravity/CYBE correspondence* [30].

This article is organized as follows. After recalling the CYBE and classical r-matrix in Section 2, we introduce a deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action in Section 3. In Section 4, as a generic property of the CYBE-type deformation, we will show that CYBE-type deformations may be interpreted as non-local gauge transformations of the left-invariant one-form. In Section 5, we explicitly derive the deformed metric and the NS-NS two-form, and present some concrete examples of the gravity/CYBE correspondence. We also demonstrate that our deformation

[1] For another approach to integrable deformations, see [12, 13].

[2] For earlier arguments on a q-deformed $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ in squashed sigma models, see [17, 18, 19].

technique works beyond the integrability. As an example, in Section 6, we consider deformations of the $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$ background. Section 7 is devoted to conclusion and discussion.

2. Classical r-matrix

In this section, we will give a short review on some properties of the CYBE and classical r-matrices as solutions of the CYBE.

2.1. Classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE)

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. A *classical r-matrix* (in tensorial notation)

$$r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \quad \in \quad \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

is defined as a solution of *the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE)*;

$$[r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

where $r_{12} = r \otimes 1, r_{23} = 1 \otimes r$ and $r_{13} = \sum_i a_i \otimes 1 \otimes b_i$ with a schematic notation. The CYBE is regarded as a classical analogue of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (YBE);

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}\,. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, plugging an expansion

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} = 1 + \hbar r_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$$

with the YBE, it is easy to derive the CYBE (1) at $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$.

When there exists a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear two-from $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$, one can introduce a linear operator denoted by $R \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$R(x) = \langle r, x\rangle_2 = \sum_i a_i \langle b_i, x\rangle \qquad \text{for} \qquad {}^\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}\,. \tag{3}$$

Here the subscript of $\langle r, x\rangle_2$ stands for the inner product over the second entry of the tensor. If the classical r-matrix is skew-symmetric $r_{12} = -r_{21}$, the CYBE (1) is equivalently written as

$$[R(x), R(y)] - R([R(x), y] + [x, R(y)]) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad {}^\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{4}$$

in terms of the linear R-operator.

An important generalization of the CYBE is the *modified classical Yang-Baxter equation (mCYBE)*, that is

$$[R(x), R(y)] - R([R(x), y] + [x, R(y)]) = -c^2[x, y] \qquad \text{for} \qquad {}^\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}\,. \tag{5}$$

with a parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$. The mCYBE is reduced to the CYBE by taking the $c \to 0$ limit. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real Lie algebra, the possible non-zero parameters are $c = 1$ or i up to rescaling of the R-operator; $R \to |c|R$. Depending on these values, the associated R-operators are classified into *split* ($c = 1$) and *non-split* ($c = i$) type, respectively. The r-matrices discussed in [10, 16] are of non-split type.

2.2. Solutions of the Classical Yang-Baxter equation
In the following, we will introduce some solutions of the (m)CYBE.

In this subsection, we work with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(N)$ generated by E_{ij} with $i, j = 1, \cdots, N$ which satisfy the relations;

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{kj} E_{il} - \delta_{il} E_{kj} \,. \tag{6}$$

For $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$, the bilinear form used in (3) is simply given by the trace of the fundamental representations,

$$\langle E_{ij}, E_{kl} \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(e_{ij} e_{kl}) = \delta_{kj} \delta_{il} \,, \tag{7}$$

where $(e_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$.

2.2.1. Solutions of mCYBE Let us first look at a solution of the mCYBE.

Drinfeld-Jimbo r-matrix A typical solution of the *modified* CYBE is the Drinfeld-Jimbo type solution,

$$r_{\mathrm{DJ}} = c \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} E_{ij} \wedge E_{ji} \tag{8}$$

with $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{0\}$ and the notation $A \wedge B = A \otimes B - B \otimes A$. The associated linear R-operator is

$$R_{\mathrm{DJ}}(E_{ij}) = \begin{cases} +cE_{ij} & i < j \\ 0 & i = j \\ -cE_{ij} & i > j \end{cases} . \tag{9}$$

The r-matrix (8) with $c = i$ (non-split type) is used for a q-deformation of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ string action [10, 16]. More generally, the Drinfeld-Jimbo r-matrix is determined by fixing a borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. For instance, the other choices of r-matrices for $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ are discussed in [16].

2.2.2. Solutions of CYBE The next is to consider solutions of the CYBE (1). For linear R-operators, see (4). As a remarkable feature, it is possible to restrict r-matrices to a subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(M) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(N)$ with $M < N$. For the r-matrix of Drinfeld-Jimbo type, such restrictions are not allowed due to non-vanishing c^2-terms on the RHS in (5).

Trivial r-matrix It is trivial that $r = 0$ is a solution of the CYBE (1) and it does not deform the original $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ string action, which will be introduced in next section. However, this fact is a very important feature of the CYBE-type deformations since it allows us to partially deform $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ background. Indeed, $R = 0$ does *not* satisfy the mCYBE (5). It seems quite difficult to discuss the partial deformations based on the r-matrices satisfying the mCYBE.

Abelian r-matrix The simplest non-trivial examples are *abelian* r-matrices, which are composed of Cartan generators like

$$r_{\mathrm{Abe}} = E_{ii} \wedge E_{jj} \qquad \text{with} \qquad i \neq j \,. \tag{10}$$

Any linear combinations of them also satisfy the CYBE. In terms of the associated linear R-operator, it only acts on the Cartan generators;

$$R_{\mathrm{Abe}}(E_{ii}) = -E_{jj} \,, \qquad R_{\mathrm{Abe}}(E_{jj}) = E_{ii} \,. \tag{11}$$

Jordanian r-matrix Slightly non-trivial solutions are the so-called *Jordanian* r-matrices. They have non-zero Cartan charges and thus are nilpotent. The generic expression of them is

$$r_{\rm Jor} = E_{ij} \wedge (\alpha E_{ii} - \beta E_{jj}) - \gamma \sum_{i<k<j} E_{ik} \wedge E_{kj} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \gamma = \alpha + \beta & \text{or} \\ \gamma = 0 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. The parameter relations are required to satisfy the CYBE. Eventually it contains an extra deformation parameter in addition to the overall scaling. The non-trivial action of the linear R-operator is written down,

$$R_{\rm Jor}(E_{ji}) = -\alpha E_{ii} + \beta E_{jj}\,, \qquad R_{\rm Jor}(E_{jk}) = -\gamma E_{ik}\,, \tag{13}$$

$$R_{\rm Jor}(E_{kk}) = (\alpha\delta_{ki} - \beta\delta_{kj}) E_{ij}\,, \qquad R_{\rm Jor}(E_{ki}) = +\gamma E_{kj}\,, \tag{14}$$

where $i < k < j$. Indeed, it is easy to see the nilpotency; $(R_{\rm Jor})^n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$.

When the parameters in (12) take special values $\alpha = \beta = c$ and $\gamma = 2c$, the Jordanian r-matrix is obtained from the Drinfeld-Jimbo r-matrix (8) through the *twisting* given by

$$[\Delta(E_{ij}), r_{\rm DJ}] = r_{\rm Jor} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \Delta(E_{ij}) = E_{ij} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E_{ij}\,. \tag{15}$$

Abelian-Jordanian r-matrix One may also consider r-matrices commuting each other and nilpotent. Such r-matrices can be constructed from commuting positive (or negative) generators and they may be referred as to *Abelian-Jordanian type*. A typical example takes the form

$$r_{\rm AJ} = E_{ij} \wedge E_{kl} \qquad \text{with} \qquad i < j\,,\ k < l\,,\ j \neq k\,,\ i \neq l\,. \tag{16}$$

The associated linear R-operator is given by

$$R_{\rm AJ}(E_{lk}) = E_{ij}\,, \qquad R_{\rm AJ}(E_{ji}) = -E_{kl}\,, \tag{17}$$

and acts trivially on the other generators. In this case, the nilpotency is $(R_{\rm AJ})^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

3. Deformed $\mathbf{AdS_5 \times S^5}$ string action

In the previous section, we have seen some typical solutions of the CYBE. Then, let us utilize them to construct deformed string actions on $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$.

3.1. Definition of deformed string action

A method to deform principal chiral models with classical r-matrices was introduced by Klimcik, which is called *the Yang-Baxter sigma models* [8]. This technique has been generalized by Delduc-Magro-Vicedo not only for the bosonic cosets [9] but also the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ string action [10, 16]. The point is that these deformations are based on the Drinfeld-Jimbo type r-matrix satisfying the mCYBE. In this section, we will give a short review of the models deformed by classical r-matrices satisfying the CYBE [11].

The deformed action of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ superstring is given by

$$S = -\frac{1}{4}(\gamma^{\alpha\beta} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\ \mathrm{Str}\left(A_\alpha d_+ \frac{1}{1 - \eta R_g \circ d_+} A_\beta \right) \tag{18}$$

where the left-invariant one-form A_α is defined as

$$A_\alpha \equiv g^{-1}\partial_\alpha g\,, \qquad g \in SU(2,2|4)\,. \tag{19}$$

Here we work in the conformal gauge. $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ are the flat metric and the anti-symmetric tensor on the string world-sheet normalized by $\gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,+1)$ and $\epsilon^{\tau\sigma} = 1$. The dressed operator R_g is defined as

$$R_g(X) \equiv g^{-1} R(gXg^{-1})g\,. \tag{20}$$

Noting that both R- and R_g-operators satisfy the CYBE. The R-operator is related to the tensorial representation of a classical r-matrix through

$$\begin{aligned} R(X) &= \mathrm{Str}_2[r(1\otimes X)] = \sum_i \big(a_i \mathrm{Str}(b_i X) - b_i \mathrm{Str}(a_i X)\big) \\ \text{with} \quad r &= \sum_i a_i \wedge b_i \equiv \sum_i (a_i \otimes b_i - b_i \otimes a_i)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Since a_i, b_i are $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$-valued, the supertrace Str is needed for $(4|4)\times(4|4)$ supermatrix. The operators $d_\pm$ are given by the following,

$$d_\pm = \pm P_1 + 2P_2 \mp P_3\,, \tag{22}$$

where P_i $(i = 0,1,2,3)$ are the projections to the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-graded components of $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4)$. $P_0\,, P_2$ and $P_1\,, P_3$ are the projectors to the bosonic and fermionic generators, respectively. In particular, $P_0(\mathfrak{su}(2,2|4))$ is nothing but $\mathfrak{so}(1,4)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(5)$, which is a local symmetry of the action (18).

It is noted that the kappa-symmetry of this model is also proved without specifying the form of classical r-matrix [11] and its derivation is similar to the q-deformed case [10].

3.2. The light-cone expression

For later arguments, we will rewrite the action (18) in terms of the light-cone coordinates; $x^\pm = (\tau \pm \sigma)/2$. Then, the light-cone currents are given by

$$A_\pm = A_\tau \pm A_\sigma\,. \tag{23}$$

It is also convenient to introduce the deformed light-cone currents as follows;

$$J_\pm \equiv \frac{1}{1 \mp \eta R_g \circ d_\pm} A_\pm\,. \tag{24}$$

With these notations, the Lagrangian (18) is now simply written as

$$L = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Str}\left(A_- d_+(J_+)\right) = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Str}\left(A_+ d_-(J_-)\right)\,. \tag{25}$$

It is also noted that, by solving the relation (24) with respect to $A_\pm$, the Lagrangian has *dipole-like* expressions as follows;

$$L = \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Str}\left[J_+ d_-(J_-)\right] - \tfrac{\eta}{4}\mathrm{Str}\left[d_+(J_+) R_g(d_-(J_-))\right] \tag{26}$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{Str}\left[J_- d_+(J_+)\right] + \tfrac{\eta}{4}\mathrm{Str}\left[d_+(J_+) R_g(d_-(J_-))\right]\,. \tag{27}$$

3.3. On-shell flat current

The equation of motion of Lagrangian (25) is given by

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \partial_- d_+(J_+) + \partial_+ d_-(J_-) + [J_-, d_+(J_+)] + [J_+, d_-(J_-)] = 0\,. \tag{28}$$

By the definition of the current $A_\pm$ in (19), it satisfies the zero-curvature condition,

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv \partial_+ A_- - \partial_- A_+ + [A_+, A_-] = 0\,. \tag{29}$$

Rewriting $\mathcal{Z}$ in terms of the deformed current (24), we obtain the following expression,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \partial_+ J_- - \partial_- J_+ + [J_+, J_-] + \eta R_g(\mathcal{E}) + \eta^2 \mathrm{CYBE}(d_+(J_+), d_-(J_-))\,, \tag{30}$$

where we have denoted the LHS of the CYBE (4) with the dressed R_g-operator by

$$\mathrm{CYBE}(X, Y) \equiv [R_g(X), R_g(X)] - R_g\big([R_g(X), Y] + [X, R_g(Y)]\big)\,, \tag{31}$$

which vanishes when R satisfies the CYBE[3]. This relation implies that the deformed current $J_\pm$ is also flat current if the equation of motion is satisfied $\mathcal{E} = 0$. In this sense, $J_\pm$ is an *on-shell* flat current.

3.4. Lax pairs

We are ready to introduce the Lax pair for the deformed string action (25). They are given by[4]

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm \equiv J_\pm^{(0)} + \lambda J_\pm^{(1)} + \lambda^{\pm 2} J_\pm^{(2)} + \lambda^{-1} J_\pm^{(3)}\,, \tag{34}$$

with a spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-grading is denoted by $J_\pm^{(k)} \equiv P_k(J_\pm)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then, the equation of motion (28) and flatness condition (29) are equivalent with the zero-curvature condition of the Lax pair;

$$\partial_+ \mathcal{L}_- - \partial_- \mathcal{L}_+ + [\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-] = 0\,. \tag{35}$$

Thus, the deformed string action (25) is classically integrable in the sense that the Lax pair exists.

4. CYBE deformation as non-local gauge transformation

As a remarkable feature of the CYBE-type deformation, we will show that the deformed current $J_\pm$ in (24) is obtained as a consequence of a non-local gauge transformation from the undeformed current $A_\pm = g^{-1}\partial_\pm g$. More precisely, the deformed current can be expressed as

$$J_\pm = \tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_\pm \tilde{g}\,, \tag{36}$$

where a group element $\tilde{g}$ is related to the undeformed one g by a *twist operator* $\mathcal{F}$ like

$$\tilde{g} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} g\,. \tag{37}$$

As we will see here, such an operator $\mathcal{F}$ does exist when the R-operator satisfies the CYBE.

[3] If the R-operator satisfies the mCYBE rather than the CYBE, the condition (29) is modified as [10]

$$\mathcal{Z} = \partial_+ J_- - \partial_- J_+ + [J_+, J_-] + \eta R_g(\mathcal{E}) + \eta^2 [d_+(J_+), d_-(J_-)]\,. \tag{32}$$

Thus, the deformed current J_μ is no longer flat even if the equation of motion is satisfied.

[4] If the case of the Drinfeld-Jimbo type deformation, the Lax pair is given by [10]

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm \equiv J_\pm^{(0)} + \lambda\sqrt{1+\eta^2} J_\pm^{(1)} + \lambda^{\pm 2}\frac{1+\eta^2}{1-\eta^2} J_\pm^{(2)} + \lambda^{-1}\sqrt{1+\eta^2} J_\pm^{(3)}\,. \tag{33}$$

4.1. Twist operator
To construct the operator $\mathcal{F}$, let us consider the following gauge transformation of $J_\pm$:

$$J_\pm^g \equiv gJ_\pm g^{-1} - \partial_\pm g g^{-1} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \partial_\pm + J_\pm^g = g(\partial_\pm + J_\pm)g^{-1}\,. \tag{38}$$

The current $J_\pm$ is also flat when equation of motion is satisfied. Hence the resulting current $J_\pm^g$ is obviously flat and explicitly computed as

$$J_\pm^g = g(J_\pm - A_\pm)g^{-1} = g\left(\frac{\pm\eta R_g \circ d_\pm}{1 \mp \eta R_g \circ d_\pm} A_\pm\right) g^{-1} = \pm\eta R\left(g d_\pm(J_\pm) g^{-1}\right)\,. \tag{39}$$

The flatness of $J_\pm^g$ can be seen as a consistency condition of auxiliary linear problem;

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \mathcal{F} &= -J_\tau^g \mathcal{F}\,, \\ \partial_\sigma \mathcal{F} &= -J_\sigma^g \mathcal{F}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}(\sigma,\tau)$ is a $(4|4) \times (4|4)$ matrix. This problem is formally solved up to world-sheet boundary term $\mathcal{F}(0,\tau)$ as follows;

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma,\tau) = \mathrm{Pexp}\left[-\int_0^\sigma d\sigma\, J_\sigma^g\right] \mathcal{F}(0,\tau)\,. \tag{41}$$

The equations (40) allow us to express the current $J_\pm^g$ as

$$J_\pm^g = -\partial_\pm \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\,. \tag{42}$$

Combining the gauge transformation (38) and the above relation, we notice that the deformed current $J_\pm$ could be casted into the following nice form,

$$J_\pm = g^{-1}J_\pm^g g + A_\pm = -g^{-1}\partial_\pm \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1} g + g^{-1}\partial_\pm g = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}g)^{-1}\partial_\pm(\mathcal{F}^{-1}g)\,. \tag{43}$$

This expression is nothing but the desired form (36) with $\tilde{g} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{-1}g$. Furthermore, by introducing the difference between g and $\tilde{g}$ as

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv \tilde{g}^{-1}g = g^{-1}\mathcal{F}g\,, \tag{44}$$

we notice that the deformed current $J_\pm$ is directly obtained from $A_\pm$ by the following non-local gauge transformation;

$$J_\pm = \mathcal{G}A_\pm\mathcal{G}^{-1} - \partial_\pm \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}^{-1} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \partial_\pm + J_\pm = \mathcal{G}(\partial_\pm + A_\pm)\mathcal{G}^{-1}\,. \tag{45}$$

4.2. Conserved current
The conserved current for the deformed model is easily obtained by the equation of motion (28). To find out the conserved current, we introduce

$$\Lambda^\alpha \equiv 2\gamma^{\alpha\beta}J_\beta^{(2)} + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(J_\beta^{(1)} - J_\beta^{(3)})\,. \tag{46}$$

Then, by using this quantity, the projected current is expressed as

$$d_\pm(J_\pm) = \Lambda^\tau \pm \Lambda^\sigma\,. \tag{47}$$

The equation of motion (28) is now written as

$$\mathcal{E} = -2\left(\partial_\alpha \Lambda^\alpha + [J_\alpha, \Lambda^\alpha]\right) = 0\,. \tag{48}$$

This relation leads us to define the following conserved current

$$k^\alpha \equiv \tilde{g}\Lambda^\alpha \tilde{g}^{-1}\,. \tag{49}$$

In fact, the conservation law $\partial_\alpha k^\alpha = 0$ immediately follows from the expression (36).

Here it is worth giving some comments on the symmetry algebra of the conserved charges. Firstly, we should note that the quantities $\oint k^\alpha$ are not conserved in general due to the twisted boundary condition. Thus, to construct the conserved charges, it would be necessary to suppose the infinitely extended world-sheet with boundary conditions;

$$g \to \text{const.} \qquad \text{when} \qquad \sigma \to \pm\infty\,. \tag{50}$$

With these asymptotic boundary conditions, the conserved charges can be defined as

$$Q^\alpha \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma k^\alpha\,. \tag{51}$$

One may expect that the resulting symmetry algebra would be the undeformed $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$. It is, however, quite sensitive to the choice of the constant matrix K which appears in

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma,\tau) = \mathrm{Pexp}\left[-\int_{-\infty}^{\sigma} d\sigma\, J^g_\sigma\right] K\,. \tag{52}$$

Indeed, we have a degree of freedom to multiplying such a constant matrix in the linear problem (40). This matrix corresponds to boundary conditions of the string world-sheet. The conserved current is transformed as

$$k^\alpha \to K k^\alpha K^{-1} \qquad \text{under} \qquad \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}K\,. \tag{53}$$

Then, it triggers the mixing of the conserved charges defined in (51). In fact, in the case of three-dimensional Schrödinger spacetimes, a suitable choice of the K-matrix brings a q-deformed Poincaré algebra [39, 40] and the associated infinite dimensional algebra (called an *exotic symmetry* [41]) into a standard $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ and a Yangian symmetry $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ [42].

5. From CYBE to deformations of $\mathbf{AdS}_5\times\mathbf{S}^5$

The deformed action (18) is rather algebraic and hence it is not so obvious to deduce the background metric. We demonstrate here how to read off the metric and the NS-NS two-form from the deformed action. Then we present some examples of the gravity/CYBE correspondence.

To read off the metric and NS-NS two-form, it is sufficient to see the bosonic part of the deformed action. Firstly, we need to introduce the coordinate system x^i of $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ via a parameterization of the group element;

$$g = \exp\left(\textstyle\sum_i x^i T_i\right) \quad \in \quad SU(2,2)\otimes SU(4)\,, \tag{54}$$

where T^i belong to the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2,2)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(4)$. The coordinate system x^i may be the Poincaré coordinates or the global coordinates, depending on the purpose. In the practical computation, it is often useful to utilize gamma-matrices as the generators T_i.

Table 1. A catalog of the gravity/CYBE correspondence. Here we list some typical examples of classical r-matrices and the associated gravity backgrounds, which are explained in the Subsection 5.1 and Section 6.

Classical r-matrices	$\to$	Deformed gravity solutions
Trivial: $r = 0$		$\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ [2]
Jordanian: $r_{\mathrm{Jor}} = E_{24} \wedge (E_{22} - E_{44})$		Melvin twists [43]
Abelian: $r_{\mathrm{Abe}} = h_1 \wedge h_2$ with $h_i \in \mathfrak{su}(4)$		γ_i-deformations of S^5 [35, 36]
Abelian: $r_{\mathrm{Abe}} = h_1 \wedge h_2$ with $h_i \in \mathfrak{su}(2,2)$		γ_i-deformations of AdS_5 [44, 45, 46, 47]
Abelian Jordanian: $r_{\mathrm{AJ}} = p_1 \wedge p_2$		Gravity duals for NC Yang-Mills [37, 38]
Abelian: $r_{\mathrm{Abe}} = K_3 \wedge L_3$		γ_i-deformations of $T^{1,1}$ [35, 48]

Secondary, plugging the projector (22) with (18), the bosonic part of the Lagrangian reads

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{\alpha\beta} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta})\,\mathrm{Tr}[A_\alpha P_2(J_\beta)] \qquad \text{with} \qquad J_\beta = \frac{1}{1 - 2\eta R_g \circ P_2} A_\beta\,. \tag{55}$$

The metric and NS-NS two-forms are obtained as the symmetric and skew-symmetric part with respect to the world-sheet coordinates in the above expression, respectively. Then, the remaining is to evaluate the projected deformed bosonic current $P_2(J_\beta)$ in (55) explicitly. This is easily done by multiplying $P_2 \circ (1 - 2\eta R_g \circ P_2)$ on J_β and the following form is obtained,

$$(1 - 2\eta P_2 \circ R_g) P_2(J_\beta) = P_2(A_\beta)\,. \tag{56}$$

The above relation may be regarded as the definition of $P_2(J_\beta)$. This relation takes the value on $\mathfrak{su}(2,2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4)$, but the projector P_2 reduces the number of the linearly independent relations just to $10 = 5 + 5 = \dim(\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5)$. In particular, when a deformation of either AdS_5 or S^5 is considered, only five linear relations are concerned with the analysis.

5.1. Examples

We will present some examples of deformed backgrounds, which are obtained from typical solutions of the CYBE (explained in Section 2)[5].

5.1.1. Three parameter $\hat{\gamma}_i$-deformation of S^5 Firstly, let us consider an abelian classical r-matrix with $\mathfrak{su}(4)$, which consists of the three Cartan generators h_1, h_2, h_3;

$$r_{\mathrm{Abe}} = \frac{1}{8}(\hat{\gamma}_3 h_1 \wedge h_2 + \hat{\gamma}_1 h_2 \wedge h_3 + \hat{\gamma}_2 h_3 \wedge h_1)\,, \tag{57}$$

where $\hat{\gamma}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are deformation parameters. This r-matrix deforms only the S^5 part.

The resulting metric and NS-NS two-forms turn out to be [30]

$$ds^2 = ds^2_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} + \sum_{i=1}^{3}(d\rho_i^2 + G\rho_i^2 d\phi_i^2) + G\rho_1^2\rho_2^2\rho_3^2\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\hat{\gamma}_i d\phi_i\Big)^2\,, \tag{58}$$

$$B_2 = G\left(\hat{\gamma}_3\rho_1^2\rho_2^2\, d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2 + \hat{\gamma}_1\rho_2^2\rho_3^2\, d\phi_2 \wedge d\phi_3 + \hat{\gamma}_2\rho_3^2\rho_1^2\, d\phi_3 \wedge d\phi_1\right)\,, \tag{59}$$

[5] We set that $\eta = 1$ in (55) here.

where ρ_i satisfy the following constraints and the scalar function G is defined by

$$\rho_1^2+\rho_2^2+\rho_3^2=1\,,\qquad G^{-1}\equiv 1+\hat{\gamma}_3^2\rho_1^2\rho_2^2+\hat{\gamma}_1^2\rho_2^2\rho_3^2+\hat{\gamma}_2^2\rho_3^2\rho_1^2\,. \tag{60}$$

These backgrounds agree with the well-known three-parameter $\hat{\gamma}_i$-deformations of S^5 [35, 36]. In particular, it reduces to the Lunin-Maldacena case [35] when $\hat{\gamma}_1=\hat{\gamma}_2=\hat{\gamma}_3$. As pointed out in [36], these deformed backgrounds are reproduced by performing TsT transformations (which is a sequence of two T-dualities and a shift).

5.1.2. Gravity duals for noncommutative gauge theories The next example is an abelian Jordanian classical r-matrix with $\mathfrak{su}(2,2)=\mathfrak{so}(2,4)$;

$$r_{\rm AJ}=\frac{1}{2}\big(a^2p_2\wedge p_3+a'^2p_0\wedge p_1\big)\,. \tag{61}$$

Here p_μ are translation generators of the conformal algebra and commute each other $[p_\mu,p_\nu]=0$. Thus, it trivially satisfies the CYBE. The explicit matrix representations of p_μ are given in [31]. It is noted that this r-matrix only deforms the AdS_5 part.

The resulting metric and NS-NS two-form in the Poincaré coordinates are [31]

$$ds^2=\frac{z^2}{z^4-4a'^4}(-(dx^0)^2+(dx^1)^2)+\frac{z^2}{z^4+4a^4}((dx^2)^2+(dx^3)^2)+\frac{dz^2}{z^2}+ds^2_{S^5}\,, \tag{62}$$

$$B_2=-\frac{a'^2}{z^4-a'^4}dx^0\wedge dx^1+\frac{a^2}{z^4+a^4}dx^2\wedge dx^3\,. \tag{63}$$

These completely agree with the gravity duals for non-commutative gauge theories [37, 38].

It is worth mentioning that the classical integrability of this background automatically follows from our formulation as a byproduct. It is because the Lax pair has already been constructed in a generic form (34).

One may also consider abelian twists of AdS_5 rather than S^5. For this purpose, one can simply adopt the abelian r-matrix consisting of the Cartan generators in $\mathfrak{su}(2,2)$ instead of (57). The resulting metric and NS-NS two-form agree with [44] and also include [45] as a particular case, which is obtained by means of a Melvin twist.

5.1.3. New backgrounds from Jordanian r-matrix We can also consider new integrable backgrounds which are obtained by Jordanian r-matrices such as (12). For instance, it is easy to see that the following r-matrix satisfies the CYBE,

$$r_{\rm Jor}=E_{24}\wedge(\gamma E_{22}-\gamma^* E_{44})\qquad\text{with}\qquad\gamma\in\mathbb{C}\,, \tag{64}$$

where $(E_{ij})_{kl}=\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$ are the fundamental representations of $\mathfrak{su}(2,2)$ and γ^* denotes the complex conjugate of $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}$.

The resulting metric of AdS_5 and NS-NS two-form turn out to be [33, 11],

$$\begin{aligned}ds^2&=\frac{-2dx^+dx^-+(dx^1)^2+(dx^2)^2+dz^2}{2z^2}-\frac{|\gamma|^2\big((x^1)^2+(x^2)^2\big)+({\rm Re}\gamma)^2z^2}{2z^6}(dx^+)^2\,,\\ B_2&=-{\rm Re}\gamma\frac{\big(x^1dx^1+x^2dx^2+zdz\big)\wedge dx^+}{2z^4}+{\rm Im}\gamma\frac{\big(x^2dx^1-x^1dx^2\big)\wedge dx^+}{2z^4}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

It is noted that both the metric and the NS-NS two-form are real even though the Jordanian r-matrix itself (64) does depend on a complex deformation parameter γ. When the parameter γ

is real, the deformed background was computed in [11] and the complete type IIB supergravity solutions are constructed in [32]. In the case that γ is a pure imaginary, the above backgrounds reduce to the one obtained by means of a *null Melvin twist* [43]. Furthermore, for a generic complex parameter γ, the deformed backgrounds (65) are obtained from the undeformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ by a chain of T-dualities and S-duality [33]. This strongly suggests that the Yang-Baxter sigma model with the Jordanian r-matrix (64) gives rise to a consistent string background.

6. An application to a non-integrable background

So far, we have concentrated on deformations of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ case. The $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ background is known as an integrable background because the metric can be represented by a supercoset with the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-grading [3]. An interesting question is whether or not our deformation technique is applicable to non-integrable backgrounds. In the recent progress, many examples of non-integrable backgrounds have been discovered. Among them, we will consider type IIB string theory on the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times T^{1,1}$ background. This theory is known to be dual for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superconformal field theory in four dimensions [49]. The non-integrability of this background is shown in [50] by presenting the existence of chaotic string solutions. The next issue that we would like to consider is deformations of this background by utilizing the Yang-Baxter sigma model approach. Interestingly, it turns out that it successfully works even for this non-integrable background [34]. The point is that, to apply the Yang-Baxter sigma model description, the parent background needs to be represented by a coset, although it is not necessary to be symmetric.

6.1. A coset construction of $T^{1,1}$

Let us consider the $T^{1,1}$ part of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times T^{1,1}$ background. The first step to consider CYBE-type deformations of $T^{1,1}$ is to find out a coset construction. A five-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein manifold $T^{1,1}$ is represented by a $U(1)$-fibration over $S^2\times S^2$ and it has a coset structure like $[SU(2)\times SU(2)]/U(1)$ as denoted in [51, 52]. This coset description, however, does not leads the correct metric of $T^{1,1}$ without suitable rescalings of vierbeins [53, 54]. For our purpose, we would like to avoid the rescaling because the dressed R-operator (20) depends on a group element g itself. In order to overcome this difficulty, we found another coset description of $T^{1,1}$. With this coset, it is not necessary to perform any rescaling so as to obtain the $T^{1,1}$ metric. The coset description we found in [34] is given by

$$T^{1,1}=\frac{SU(2)\times SU(2)\times U(1)_R}{U(1)_1\times U(1)_2}\,. \tag{66}$$

With this description, the manifold obviously has the $SU(2)\times SU(2)\times U(1)_R$ symmetry, where $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ and $U(1)_R$ correspond to a flavor symmetry and an R-symmetry in the dual gauge theory, respectively. Let T_i $(i=1,2)$ be the generators of two $U(1)_i$'s in the denominator. Then, the two $U(1)_i$'s are embedded into the numerator as

$$T_1=K_3+L_3\,,\qquad T_2=K_3-L_3+4M\,, \tag{67}$$

where K_i and L_i $(i=1,2,3)$ are the generators of the two $\mathfrak{su}(2)$'s, respectively. In particular, K_3 and L_3 are the Cartan generators, and M is the $\mathfrak{u}(1)_R$ generator.

By introducing a parameterization of the group element $g\in SU(2)\times SU(2)\times U(1)_R$ and defining the left-invariant one-form $A=g^{-1}dg$, the metric of $T^{1,1}$ can be reproduced as [34]

$$ds^2_{T^{1,1}}=-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Str}[AP(A)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}\sum_{i=1,2}(d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2\theta_i d\phi_i^2) + \frac{1}{9}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)^2\,, \tag{68}$$

where the coset projector P is defined by

$$P(A) \equiv A - \frac{\mathrm{Str}[T_1 A]}{\mathrm{Str}[T_1 T_1]}T_1 - \frac{\mathrm{Str}[T_2 A]}{\mathrm{Str}[T_2 T_2]}T_2\,. \tag{69}$$

One may wonder why the supertrace Str is adopted here rather than the usual trace. Indeed, the superstrace in (68) is assumed to be taken over the $(4|1)\times(4|1)$ supermatrices, which is the fundamental representation of $SU(2)\times SU(2)\times U(1)_R$. This may be justified by interpreting the matrix as a part of a bigger $(8|1)\times(8|1)$ supermatrix including an $\mathcal{N}=1$ superconformal algebra as follows;

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} SU(2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & SU(2) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & U(1)_R \end{array}\right) \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} SU(2,2) & 0 & 0 & \overline{F}^A \\ 0 & SU(2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & SU(2) & 0 \\ \hline F_A & 0 & 0 & U(1)_R \end{array}\right). \tag{70}$$

The lines in the matrices distinguish the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. In the right big supermatrix, an $\mathcal{N}=1$ superconformal algebra $PSU(2,2|1)$ sitting at the four corners together with the supercharges $F_A, \overline{F}^A$ $(A=1,\cdots,4)$. The point is that the $U(1)_R$ symmetry is originated in the superconformal symmetry $PSU(2,2|1)$. In other words, the coset (66) should be regarded as a subsector of the supercoset;

$$\frac{PSU(2,2|1)\times SU(2)\times SU(2)}{SO(1,4)\times U(1)_1\times U(1)_2}\,. \tag{71}$$

6.2. Deformations of $T^{1,1}$ as Yang-Baxter sigma models

We have already revealed the coset structure of $T^{1,1}$. We are now ready to apply the deformation technique based on classical r-matrices. The Lagrangian of the Yang-Baxter sigma model on $T^{1,1}$ is given by

$$L \equiv \frac{1}{3}(\gamma^{\alpha\beta} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta})\mathrm{Str}\left[A_\alpha P \frac{1}{1-2R_g\circ P}A_\beta\right]\,, \tag{72}$$

with the projector P defined in (69).

As a natural generalization of the S^5 case (57), let us consider an abelian r-matrix which is composed of the three Cartan generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)\oplus\mathfrak{u}(1)_R$,

$$r_{\mathrm{Abe}} = \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_1 L_3\wedge M + \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_2 M\wedge K_3 - \frac{1}{6}\hat{\gamma}_3 K_3\wedge L_3\,, \tag{73}$$

with deformation parameters $\hat{\gamma}_i$ $(i=1,2,3)$.

After some computations, the resulting metric and NS-NS two-from turn out to be [34]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= G(\hat{\gamma}_1,\hat{\gamma}_2,\hat{\gamma}_3)\Big[\frac{1}{6}\sum_{i=1,2}(G(\hat{\gamma}_1,\hat{\gamma}_2,\hat{\gamma}_3)^{-1}d\theta_i^2 + \sin^2\theta_i d\phi_i^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{9}(d\psi + \cos\theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos\theta_2 d\phi_2)^2 + \frac{\sin^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2}{324}(\hat{\gamma}_3 d\psi + \hat{\gamma}_1 d\phi_1 + \hat{\gamma}_2 d\phi_2)^2\Big]\,, \\ B_2 &= G(\hat{\gamma}_1,\hat{\gamma}_2,\hat{\gamma}_3)\Big[\Big\{\hat{\gamma}_3\Big(\frac{\sin^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2}{36} + \frac{\cos^2\theta_1\sin^2\theta_2 + \cos^2\theta_2\sin^2\theta_1}{54}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

$$- \hat{\gamma}_2 \frac{\cos\theta_2 \sin^2\theta_1}{54} - \hat{\gamma}_1 \frac{\cos\theta_1 \sin^2\theta_2}{54} \Big\} d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2$$
$$+ \frac{(\hat{\gamma}_3 \cos\theta_2 - \hat{\gamma}_2)\sin^2\theta_1}{54} d\phi_1 \wedge d\psi - \frac{(\hat{\gamma}_3 \cos\theta_1 - \hat{\gamma}_1)\sin^2\theta_2}{54} d\phi_2 \wedge d\psi \Big] , \tag{75}$$

where the scalar function is defined as

$$G(\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2, \hat{\gamma}_3)^{-1} \equiv 1 + \hat{\gamma}_3^2 \Big(\frac{\sin^2\theta_1 \sin^2\theta_2}{36} + \frac{\cos^2\theta_1 \sin^2\theta_2 + \cos^2\theta_2 \sin^2\theta_1}{54} \Big) + \hat{\gamma}_2^2 \frac{\sin^2\theta_1}{54}$$
$$+ \hat{\gamma}_1^2 \frac{\sin^2\theta_2}{54} - \hat{\gamma}_2\hat{\gamma}_3 \frac{\sin^2\theta_1 \cos\theta_2}{27} - \hat{\gamma}_3\hat{\gamma}_1 \frac{\sin^2\theta_2 \cos\theta_1}{27} . \tag{76}$$

Indeed, these expressions agree with the ones obtained in [48] via TsT-transformations. In particular, when $\hat{\gamma}_1 = \hat{\gamma}_2 = 0$ and $\hat{\gamma}_3$ is non-zero, the above deformed backgrounds reduce to the Lunin-Maldacena case [35].

7. Conclusion and discussion

In this article, we have given a short review of the recent progresses on novel relations between classical r-matrices satisfying the CYBE and deformed string backgrounds [11, 32, 30, 31, 34]. The relations are worthy of being called the *gravity/CYBE correspondence* [30, 31]. According to them, the solution space of the CYBE may be regarded as a moduli space of a certain class of deformed gravitational backgrounds. In particular, we have presented several important examples including three parameter $\hat{\gamma}_i$-deformations of the S^5 part [35, 36] and the gravity duals of non-commutative $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theories [37, 38] in Section 5. We have also discussed an application of the deformation technique to a non-integrable background, $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$ [34] in Section 6.

There remain, however, several open questions to be answered. Let us list some of them in the following;

- Firstly, we are not sure whether or not any deformed backgrounds obtained by classical r-matrices are consistent with the equations of motion of type IIB supergravity. Even though we proved that the deformed models have kappa-symmetry in general [11] and some important deformed supergravity backgrounds are successfully obtained as demonstrated in Section 5, it is not straightforward to conclude that the resulting backgrounds always satisfy the equations of motion of type IIB supergravity.
- It is not obvious that mutually different r-matrices label pair-wise different backgrounds. It is observed in [32] that two non-equivalent r-matrices lead to the identical metric, up to coordinate transformations. Thus, to establish the one to one correspondence between r-matrices and deformed backgrounds, it would be necessary to take into account an appropriate quotient by algebra automorphisms.
- Can we find the corresponding r-matrix for a given deformed background? More generally, does there alway exist an r-matrix for any analytically deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background? Even though we know how to compute the deformed back metric and the NS-NS two-forms from the r-matrices, the inverse direction should also be clarified.
- Finally, it is desirable to figure out the composite rule of the r-matrices. On the gravity theory side, some deformed backgrounds are obtained by string dualities such as TsT-transformations and we could think of a chain of them to construct more complicated backgrounds. In this sense, we have a structure of product of the dualities. The question is how it works for the classical r-matrices if the gravity/CYBE correspondence holds. We expect that the twist operator discussed in Section 4 might be a clue to solve this problem.

Even though there are still many issues to establish the gravity/CYBE correspondence, we believe that classical r-matrices are very fascinating objects to characterize deformed gravity solutions. As a final remark, the deformation technique presented here preserves the classical integrability of the undeformed background, but it can describe a non-integrable deformation of a non-integrable background, $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times T^{1,1}$ [34]. This result indicates that the gravity/CYBE correspondence is not restricted to a class of integrable backgrounds and capture a wider class of gravity solutions. It is an important task to ascertain the limitation of this scenario. We hope to report on some new results along this direction in the near future.

Acknowledgment
We are grateful to Gleb Arutyunov, Riccardo Borsato, Takashi Kameyama and Stefan Vandoren for valuable comments and discussions. We also thank Io Kawaguchi for his collaboration at the beginning of this project. Especially, we would like to appreciate P. Marcos Crichigno for the collaboration concerning with [34] and comments on this manuscript. T.M. is supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under the VICI grant 680-47-602. This work is also part of the ERC Advanced grant research programme No. 246974, "Supersymmetry: a window to non-perturbative physics" and of the D-ITP consortium, a program of the NWO that is funded by the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW).

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113]. [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[2] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, "Type IIB superstring action in $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ background," Nucl. Phys. B **533** (1998) 109 [hep-th/9805028].

[3] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, "Hidden symmetries of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ superstring," Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 046002 [hep-th/0305116].

[4] R. Roiban and W. Siegel, "Superstrings on $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ supertwistor space," JHEP **0011** (2000) 024 [hep-th/0010104].

[5] M. Hatsuda and K. Yoshida, "Classical integrability and super Yangian of superstring on $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9** (2005) 703 [hep-th/0407044]; "Super Yangian of superstring on $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ revisited," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **15** (2011) 1485 [arXiv:1107.4673 [hep-th]].

[6] J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, "The Bethe ansatz for N=4 superYang-Mills," JHEP **0303** (2003) 013 [hep-th/0212208].

[7] N. Beisert *et al.*, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview," Lett. Math. Phys. **99** (2012) 3 [arXiv:1012.3982 [hep-th]].

[8] C. Klimcik, "Yang-Baxter sigma models and dS/AdS T duality," JHEP **0212** (2002) 051 [hep-th/0210095]; "On integrability of the Yang-Baxter sigma-model," J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) 043508 [arXiv:0802.3518 [hep-th]]; "Integrability of the bi-Yang-Baxter sigma model," arXiv:1402.2105 [math-ph];
R. Squellari, "Yang-Baxter σ model: Quantum aspects," Nucl. Phys. B **881** (2014) 502 [arXiv:1401.3197 [hep-th]].

[9] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "On classical q-deformations of integrable sigma-models," JHEP **1311** (2013) 192 [arXiv:1308.3581 [hep-th]].

[10] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "An integrable deformation of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ superstring action," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 051601 [arXiv:1309.5850 [hep-th]].

[11] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Jordanian deformations of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ superstring," JHEP **1404** (2014) 153 [arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th]].

[12] T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes and D. M. Schmidtt, "Integrable Deformations of Strings on Symmetric Spaces," arXiv:1407.2840 [hep-th];
T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes and D. Schmidtt, "An Integrable Deformation of the AdS5 x S5 Superstring," arXiv:1409.1538 [hep-th].

[13] K. Sfetsos, "Integrable interpolations: From exact CFTs to non-Abelian T-duals," Nucl. Phys. B **880** (2014) 225 [arXiv:1312.4560 [hep-th]];
G. Itsios, K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos and A. Torrielli, "The classical Yang-Baxter equation and the associated Yangian symmetry of gauged WZW-type theories," arXiv:1409.0554 [hep-th].

[14] V. G. Drinfel'd, "Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation," Sov. Math. Dokl. **32** (1985) 254; "Quantum groups," J. Sov. Math. **41** (1988) 898 [Zap. Nauchn. Semin. **155**, 18 (1986)].

[15] M. Jimbo, "A q difference analog of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation," Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985) 63.

[16] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "Derivation of the action and symmetries of the q-deformed AdS_5 x S^5 superstring," arXiv:1406.6286 [hep-th].

[17] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Hybrid classical integrability in squashed sigma models," Phys. Lett. B **705** (2011) 251 [arXiv:1107.3662 [hep-th]]; "Hybrid classical integrable structure of squashed sigma models: A short summary," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **343** (2012) 012055 [arXiv:1110.6748 [hep-th]].

[18] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "The classical origin of quantum affine algebra in squashed sigma models," JHEP **1204** (2012) 115 [arXiv:1201.3058 [hep-th]]; "On the classical equivalence of monodromy matrices in squashed sigma model," JHEP **1206** (2012) 082 [arXiv:1203.3400 [hep-th]].

[19] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Hidden Yangian symmetry in sigma model on squashed sphere," JHEP **1011** (2010) 032 [arXiv:1008.0776 [hep-th]];
I. Kawaguchi, D. Orlando and K. Yoshida, "Yangian symmetry in deformed WZNW models on squashed spheres," Phys. Lett. B **701** (2011) 475 [arXiv:1104.0738 [hep-th]];
I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "A deformation of quantum affine algebra in squashed WZNW models," J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 062302 [arXiv:1311.4696 [hep-th]].

[20] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato and S. Frolov, "S-matrix for strings on η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$," JHEP **1404** (2014) 002 [arXiv:1312.3542 [hep-th]].

[21] B. Hoare, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, "On deformations of $\mathrm{AdS}_n\times\mathrm{S}^n$ supercosets," JHEP **1406** (2014) 002 [arXiv:1403.5517 [hep-th]].

[22] G. Arutyunov and S. J. van Tongeren, "The $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ mirror model as a string," arXiv:1406.2304 [hep-th].

[23] G. Arutynov, M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "On the exact spectrum and mirror duality of the $(AdS_5\times S^5)_\eta$ superstring," arXiv:1403.6104 [hep-th].

[24] T. Kameyama and K. Yoshida, "Anisotropic Landau-Lifshitz sigma models from q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstrings," arXiv:1405.4467 [hep-th]; "String theories on warped AdS backgrounds and integrable deformations of spin chains," JHEP **1305** (2013) 146 [arXiv:1304.1286 [hep-th]].

[25] G. Arutyunov and D. Medina-Rincon, "Deformed Neumann model from spinning strings on $(\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5)_\eta$," arXiv:1406.2536 [hep-th].

[26] M. Khouchen and J. Kluson, "Giant Magnon on Deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$," arXiv:1405.5017 [hep-th];
C. Ahn and P. Bozhilov, "Finite-size giant magnons on η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$," arXiv:1406.0628 [hep-th];
A. Banerjee and K. L. Panigrahi, "On the rotating and oscillating strings in $(\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3)_\kappa$," JHEP **1409** (2014) 048 [arXiv:1406.3642 [hep-th]].

[27] T. Kameyama and K. Yoshida, "A new coordinate system for q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ and classical string solutions," arXiv:1408.2189 [hep-th].

[28] A. Stolin and P. P. Kulish, "New rational solutions of Yang-Baxter equation and deformed Yangians," Czech. J. Phys. **47** (1997) 123 [arXiv:q-alg/9608011].

[29] P. P. Kulish, V. D. Lyakhovsky and A. I. Mudrov, "Extended jordanian twists for Lie algebras," J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 4569 [math/9806014 [math.QA]].

[30] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Lunin-Maldacena backgrounds from the classical Yang-Baxter equation – Towards the gravity/CYBE correspondence," JHEP **1406** (2014) 135 [arXiv:1404.1838 [hep-th]].

[31] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Integrability of classical strings dual for noncommutative gauge theories," JHEP **1406** (2014) 163 [arXiv:1404.3657 [hep-th]].

[32] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "A Jordanian deformation of AdS space in type IIB supergravity," JHEP **1406** (2014) 146 [arXiv:1402.6147 [hep-th]].

[33] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, in preparation.

[34] P. M. Crichigno, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Deformations of $T^{1,1}$ as Yang-Baxter sigma models," arXiv:1406.2249 [hep-th].

[35] O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, "Deforming field theories with $U(1)\times U(1)$ global symmetry and their gravity duals," JHEP **0505** (2005) 033 [hep-th/0502086].

[36] S. Frolov, "Lax pair for strings in Lunin-Maldacena background," JHEP **0505** (2005) 069 [hep-th/0503201].

[37] A. Hashimoto and N. Itzhaki, "Noncommutative Yang-Mills and the AdS / CFT correspondence," Phys. Lett. B **465** (1999) 142 [hep-th/9907166].

[38] J. M. Maldacena and J. G. Russo, "Large N limit of noncommutative gauge theories," JHEP **9909** (1999) 025 [hep-th/9908134].

[39] Ch. Ohn, "A $*$-product on $SL(2)$ and the corresponding nonstandard quantum-$U(sl(2))$," Lett. Math. Phys. 25 (1992) 85.

[40] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Classical integrability of Schrödinger sigma models and q-deformed Poincare symmetry," JHEP **1111** (2011) 094 [arXiv:1109.0872 [hep-th]].

[41] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Exotic symmetry and monodromy equivalence in Schrödinger sigma models," JHEP **1302** (2013) 024 [arXiv:1209.4147 [hep-th]].
[42] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Schrödinger sigma models and Jordanian twists," JHEP **1308** (2013) 013 [arXiv:1305.6556 [hep-th]].
[43] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and S. F. Ross, "Causal structures and holography," JHEP **0507** (2005) 037 [hep-th/0504034].
[44] T. McLoughlin and I. Swanson, "Integrable twists in AdS/CFT," JHEP **0608** (2006) 084 [hep-th/0605018].
[45] D. Dhokarh, S. S. Haque and A. Hashimoto, "Melvin Twists of global AdS(5) x S(5) and their Non-Commutative Field Theory Dual," JHEP **0808** (2008) 084 [arXiv:0801.3812 [hep-th]].
[46] M. Spradlin, T. Takayanagi and A. Volovich, "String theory in beta deformed spacetimes," JHEP **0511** (2005) 039 [hep-th/0509036].
[47] M. Kulaxizi, "On beta-deformations and noncommutativity," hep-th/0610310.
[48] A. Catal-Ozer, "Lunin-Maldacena deformations with three parameters," JHEP **0602** (2006) 026 [hep-th/0512290].
[49] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, "Superconformal field theory on three-branes at a Calabi-Yau singularity," Nucl. Phys. B **536** (1998) 199 [hep-th/9807080].
[50] P. Basu and L. A. Pando Zayas, "Chaos Rules out Integrability of Strings in $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$," Phys. Lett. B **700** (2011) 243 [arXiv:1103.4107 [hep-th]].
[51] P. Candelas and X. C. de la Ossa, "Comments on Conifolds," Nucl. Phys. B **342** (1990) 246.
[52] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and D. Waldram, "Sasaki-Einstein metrics on $S^2 \times S^3$," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8**, 711 (2004) [hep-th/0403002].
[53] L. J. Romans, "New Compactifications of Chiral $N = 2d = 10$ Supergravity," Phys. Lett. B **153** (1985) 392.
[54] L. Castellani, L. J. Romans and N. P. Warner, "Symmetries of Coset Spaces and Kaluza-Klein Supergravity," Annals Phys. **157**, 394 (1984).